

Finite dimensional Nichols algebras over finite cycle groups

Weicai Wu, Shouchuan Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Hunan University

Changsha 410082, P.R. China

Abstract

All finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found. It is proved that every finite dimensional super Nichols algebra is a quantum linear space; the Nichols algebra of every connected Yetter-Drinfeld module V over finite cycle group G with $\dim V > 3$ is infinite dimensional.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 11A07

keywords: Arithmetic root system, Hopf algebra, cycle group.

0 Introduction

The framework of the paper is the classification of finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with finite cycle group G . It was obtained that there is a one-to-one correspondence between arithmetic root systems and Nichols algebras of diagonal type having a finite set of (restricted) Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt generators in [He04b]; that there is a one-to-one correspondence between twisted equivalent classes of arithmetic root systems and generalized Dynkin diagrams in [He06a]. Furthermore, arithmetic root systems were also classified in full generality in [He06a]. However, we have to know whether a braided vector space is a Yetter-Drinfeld (written as YD in short) module over a group to classify finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras.

The theory of Nichols algebras is dominated and motivated by classifying finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras (see [AS98, AS00]). Nichols algebra appear in construction of quantized Kac-Moody algebras and their $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded variants (see [KT91, KS99]). H. Yamane described $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -graded quantum groups using Nichols algebras. Nichols algebras are also natural objects in the theory of covariant differential calculus on quantum groups initiated by Woronowicz [Wo89].

In this paper, all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found. We first determine which braided vector space V , whose $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite, is a Yetter-Drinfeld over finite cycle groups by means of equation systems in $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Next using the classification of arithmetic root systems we find all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ step by step.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1 all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected 2 dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found, In section 2 all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected 3 dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found, In section 3 it is proved that every finite dimensional super Nichols algebra is a quantum linear space; the Nichols algebra of every connected YD module V over finite cycle group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\dim V > 3$ is infinite dimensional. In section 4 we show that V is a link-indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module if V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module with $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$.

In this paper, Let k be a field of characteristic zero, which contains a primitive n th root of unit. Let G be a finite abelian group; $\mathbb{Z} := \{x \mid x \text{ is integer}\}$, $\mathbb{N} := \{x > 0 \mid x \text{ is integer}\}$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$; $\widehat{G} := \{\chi \mid \chi \text{ is a homomorphism from } G \text{ to } k^*\}$; $R_n := \{x \in k \mid x \text{ is a primitive } n\text{th root of unit}\}$. Let ω is a primitive n th root of unit. If $G = (g)$ is a cycle group with order n and $V \in {}_{kG}^{kG} \mathcal{YD}$ with basis $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r$, then there exist $\chi_i \in \widehat{G}, g_i \in G$, such that $\delta(v_i) = g_i \otimes v_i$ and $h \cdot v_i = \chi_i(h)v_i$ for any $h \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\chi(g) = \omega \in R_n$. Thus $\chi_i = \chi^{n_i}$ and $g_i = g^{m_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

If V is a vector space with a basis $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ and $q_{ij} \in k^*$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ such that map $c : \begin{cases} V \otimes V & \rightarrow V \otimes V \\ x_i \otimes x_j & \mapsto q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i \end{cases}$, then (V, c) is called a braided vector space with diagonal type; $(q_{ij})_{r \times r}$ is called a braiding matrix of (V, c) under basis $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$. In this case, (V, c) is also written as $(V, (q_{ij})_{r \times r})$. Let $1, 2, \dots, r$ be vertexes and there is a line between i and j when $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$; labeled q_{ii} over vertex i and labeled $q_{ij}q_{ji}$ over line between i and j . This diagram is called generalized Dynkin diagram (written as GDD in short) of matrix $(q_{ij})_{r \times r}$ or V . Matrix $(q_{ij})_{r \times r}$ (or V) is said to be connected if the generalized Dynkin diagram is connected. Let $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 := (0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, e_r := (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$; $E_0 := \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$; $\chi_0(e_i, e_j) := q_{ij}$. Therefore, V is a graded vector space by $\mathbb{Z}^r$ when one defines $\deg x_i = e_i$. Let

$$\Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \{\deg u \mid u \text{ is a generator of (restricted) PBW basis}\}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) \cup -\Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)).$$

1 Rank 2

In this section all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected rank 2 Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found,

Lemma 1.1. (i) (See [ZZC04, Lemma 2.3] or appendix) Every kG -YD module is a braided vector space with diagonal type.

(ii) V is a G -YD module with diagonal type and braiding matrix $(q_{ij})_{n \times n}$ if and only if there exist $\chi_j \in \widehat{G}$, $g_i \in G$ such that $\chi_j(g_i) = q_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

(iii) V is a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module with diagonal type and braiding matrix $(q_{ij})_{n \times n}$ if and only if there exist $m_i, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q_{ij} = \omega^{m_i n_j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

(iv) If $q \in R_m$ with $m \mid n$, then there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q = \xi^{\frac{ns}{m}}$ with $(s, m) = 1$.

(v) If $q \in R_m$ with $m \mid n$, then there exists $\omega \in R_n$ such that $q = \omega^{\frac{n}{m}}$.

Proof. (ii) It follows from [ZZC04, Pro. 2.4].

(iii) Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cycle group with $|G| = n$ and $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\chi(g) = \omega$, then $\widehat{G} = \{\chi^m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ and $G = \{g^m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\}$. If V is a G -YD module with diagonal type and braiding matrix $(q_{ij})_{n \times n}$, then there exist $\chi_j \in \widehat{G}$ and $g_i \in G$ such that $\chi_j(g_i) = q_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Furthermore, there exist m_i, n_i such that $\chi_i = \chi^{n_i}$ and $g_i = g^{m_i}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Conversely, it is clear.

(iv) There exist $1 \leq t \leq n$ such that $\xi^t = q$ with $m = \frac{n}{(t, n)}$. Consequently, $(t, n) = \frac{n}{m}$. There exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t = \frac{n}{m}s$. Let $(s, m) = d$, $m = m'd$ and $s = s'd$. Thus $t = \frac{n}{m'}s'$. $\text{ord}(\xi^t) \leq m'$ since $n \mid tm'$, which implies that $m = m'$ and $(s, m) = 1$.

(v) Set $\tau := \prod \{p \mid p \text{ is prime with } p \mid n \text{ and } p \nmid s\}$. It is clear $m \mid \tau$ and $(\tau + s, n) = 1$. Set $\mu = \tau + s$ and $\omega := \xi^\mu$. Thus, $\omega^{\frac{n}{m}} = \xi^{\frac{sn}{m}} = q$. $\square$

Lemma 1.2. Let $n = km$, $(s, m) = 1$, $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(i)

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv t_1 s k \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv t_2 s k \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv t_3 s k \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x_1 y_1}{ks} & \equiv t_1 \pmod{m} \\ \frac{x_2 y_2}{ks} & \equiv t_2 \pmod{m} \\ \frac{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}{ks} & \equiv t_3 \pmod{m} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) If d is a solution of

$$t_1 x^2 - t_3 x + t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \quad (1.3)$$

then $x_1 = 1, y_1 = t_1 sk, x_2 = d, y_2 = (t_3 - dt_1)sk$ is a solution of (1.1) .

(iii) If d is a solution of

$$t_2 x^2 - t_3 x + t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \quad (1.4)$$

then $x_2 = 1, y_2 = t_2 sk, x_1 = d, y_1 = (t_3 - dt_2)sk$ a solution of (1.1).

Proof. It is clear. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. Let $n = km$ and $(s, m) = 1, t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If (1.1) has a solution, then

$$x^2 - t_3 x + t_1 t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad (1.5)$$

has a solution.

Proof. If (1.1) has a solution: $x_1 = m_1, y_1 = n_1, x_2 = m_2, y_2 = n_2$, then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_1}{k} & \equiv t_1 \pmod{m} \\ \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_2}{k} & \equiv t_2 \pmod{m} \\ \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} + \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_1}{k} & \equiv t_3 \pmod{m} \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_1}{k} & \equiv t_1 t_2 \pmod{m} \\ \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} + \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_1}{k} & \equiv t_3 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

have solutions. Thus there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_1}{k} & = t_1 t_2 + um \\ \frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} + \frac{s^{-1}m_2n_1}{k} & = t_3 + vm \end{cases},$$

which implies that rational number $\frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k}$ is a solution of integer coefficient equation $x^2 - (t_3 + vm)x + t_1 t_2 + um = 0$. Consequently, $\frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\frac{s^{-1}m_1n_2}{k}$ is a solution of $x^2 - t_3 x + t_1 t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

Lemma 1.4. Let $n = km$ and $(s, m) = 1, t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(i) If m is odd and $(t_1, m) = 1$, then (1.3) has a solution if and only if (1.5) has a solution.

(ii) If t_1 is odd and $(t_1, m) = 1$, then (1.3) has a solution if and only if (1.5) has a solution.

(iii) If t_2 is odd and $(t_2, m) = 1$, then (1.4) has a solution if and only if (1.5) has a solution.

(iv) If t_1 or m is odd with $(t_1, m) = 1$, then (1.1) has a solution if and only if (1.3) has a solution.

Proof. (i) (1.3) and (1.5) are equivalent to $(2t_1x - t_3)^2 \equiv t_3^2 - 4t_1t_2 \pmod{m}$ and $(2x - t_3)^2 \equiv t_3^2 - 4t_1t_2 \pmod{m}$, respectively. Consequently, (1.3) has a solution if and only if (1.5) has a solution

(ii) Considering Part (i) we only need prove this for even m . If $2 \nmid t_3$ and $2 \nmid t_2$, then both (1.3) and (1.5) have not any solutions. If $2 \nmid t_3$ and $2 \mid t_2$, then both (1.3) and (1.5) have solutions. If $2 \mid t_3$, then $(t_1x - \frac{t_3}{2})^2 \equiv (\frac{t_3}{2})^2 - t_1t_2 \pmod{2^{\alpha_1}}$ has a solution if and only if $(x - \frac{t_3}{2})^2 \equiv (\frac{t_3}{2})^2 - t_1t_2 \pmod{2^{\alpha_1}}$ has a solution. Consequently, (1.3) has a solution if and only if (1.5) has a solution.

(iii) It is similar to (ii).

(iv) If (1.1) has a solution, then (1.5) has a solution by Lemma 1.3, which implies that (1.3) has a solution by Part (ii). Conversely, it follows from Lemma 1.2. $\square$

Remark: Lemma 1.3 and 1.4 hold when $s = 1$.

Lemma 1.5. *If $(V, (q_{ij})_{r \times r})$ is a YD - module over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $(V', (q'_{ij})_{r \times r})$ has degree $s_i(E_0)$ with respect to V (defined in [He05b, Definition 2]), then $(V', (q'_{ij})_{r \times r})$ is also a YD - module over $\mathbb{Z}_n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, there exist $m_j, n_l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q_{jl} = \omega^{m_j n_l}$ for $1 \leq j, l \leq r$, By [He05b, Definition 2],

$$\begin{aligned} q'_{jl} &= q_{jl} q_{il}^{m_{ij}} q_{ji}^{m_{il}} q_{ii}^{m_{ij} m_{il}} \\ &= \omega^{m_j n_l} \omega^{m_i n_l m_{ij}} \omega^{m_j n_i m_{il}} \omega^{m_i n_i m_{ij} m_{il}} \\ &= \omega^{(m_j + m_{ij} m_i)(n_l + m_{il} n_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $m'_j := m_j + m_{ij} m_i, n'_l := n_l + m_{il} n_i$. One has $q'_{jl} = \omega^{m'_j n'_l}$ for $1 \leq j, l \leq r$. Therefore, V' is a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module. $\square$

Therefore, if $(V, (q_{ij})_{r \times r})$ and $(V', (q'_{ij})_{r \times r})$ are Weyl equivalent, then $(V, (q_{ij})_{r \times r})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ - YD module if and only if $(V', (q'_{ij})_{r \times r})$ a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ - YD module.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $n = km$ ($m > 1$) and $m = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ be the prime decomposition of m , $r \in \mathbb{N}$; $\alpha_i > 0$ when $2 < i \leq r$. If $(V, (q_{ij})_{2 \times 2})$ is a braided vector space, then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

$T2(1)$ $1 - q_{11}q_{12}q_{21} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0$, $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m$, $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_2 = 0, 1$; $(\frac{-3}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$.

$T2(2)_1$ $1 + q_{11} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0$, $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m$, $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_1 > 1$.

$T2(2)_2$ $1 + q_{22} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{11} = 0$, $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m$, $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_1 > 1$.

$T2(3)$ $1 + q_{11} = 1 + q_{22} = 0$, $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m$, $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_1 > 1$.

$T3(1)_1$ $q_{11}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}$, $q_{22} = q_{11}^2$, $q_{11} \in R_m$, $m > 2$; $\alpha_1 = 0, 1$; $\alpha_2 = 0$; $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, for $2 < i \leq r$.

- $T3(1)_2$ $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}$, $q_{22} = -1$, $q_{11} \in R_m$, $m > 2$, $\alpha_1 \neq 2, 3$.
 $T3(2)_1$ $\omega \in R_n$, $s = 1, 2$; $q_{11} = \omega^{\frac{ns}{3}}$, $q_{22} = \omega^{\frac{n}{m}}$, $q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 1$, $m > 3$; $3 \nmid m$ or $\frac{ms}{3} \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.
 $T3(2)_2$ $q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 1$, $q_{11} \in R_3$, $q_{22} \in R_2$, $m = 6$;
 $T3(3)$ $q_{11} \in R_3$, $q_{12}q_{21} = -q_{11}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 6$.
 $T4(1)$ $q_0 = q_{12}q_{21}q_{11} \in R_{12}$, $q_{11} = q_0^4$, $q_{22} = -q_0^2$, $m = 12$.
 $T4(2)$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{12}$, $q_{11} = q_{22} = -(q_{12}q_{21})^2$, $m = 12$.
 $T5(1)$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{12}$, $q_{11} = -(q_{12}q_{21})^2$, $q_{22} = -1$, $m = 12$.
 $T5(2)$ $q_0 = q_{12}q_{21}q_{11} \in R_{12}$, $q_{11} = q_0^4$, $q_{22} = -1$, $m = 12$.
 $T6$ $q_{11} \in R_{18}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}$, $q_{22} = -q_{11}^3$, $m = 18$.
 $T7(1)$ $q_{11} \in R_{12}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 12$.
 $T7(2)$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{12}$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 12$.
 $T8(1)$ $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}$, $q_{22} = q_{11}^3$, $q_{11} \in R_m$, $m > 3$, $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_2 = 0, 1$; $(\frac{-3}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$.
 $T8(2)_1$ $(q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1$, $q_{22} = -1$, $q_{12}q_{21} = -q_{11}$; $m = 8$.
 $T8(2)_2$ $(q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1$, $q_{22} = -1$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-2}$; $m = 8$.
 $T8(3)$ $(q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^2$, $q_{22} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-1}$; $m = 8$.
 $T9$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_9$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 18$.
 $T10$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{24}$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-6}$, $q_{22} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-8}$; $m = 24$.
 $T11(1)$ $q_{11} \in R_5$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 10$.
 $T11(2)$ $q_{11} \in R_{20}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 20$.
 $T12$ $q_{11} \in R_{30}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}$, $q_{22} = -q_{11}^5$; $m = 30$.
 $T13$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{24}$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^6$, $q_{22} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-1}$; $m = 24$.
 $T14$ $q_{11} \in R_{18}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-4}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 18$.
 $T15$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{30}$, $q_{11} = -(q_{12}q_{21})^{-3}$, $q_{22} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-1}$; $m = 30$.
 $T16(1)$ $q_{11} \in R_{10}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-4}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 10$.
 $T16(2)$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{20}$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-4}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 20$.
 $T17$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{24}$, $q_{11} = -(q_{12}q_{21})^4$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 24$.
 $T18$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{30}$, $q_{11} = -(q_{12}q_{21})^5$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 30$.
 $T20$ $q_{12}q_{21} \in R_{30}$, $q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-6}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 30$.
 $T21$ $q_{11} \in R_{24}$, $q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-5}$, $q_{22} = -1$; $m = 24$.

Proof. By [He04a, Th. 4], it is enough to check if there exist $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD satisfying T2-T22.

T2 (1) If

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -\frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases}, \quad (1.6)$$

has a solution, where $(s, m) = 1$, then $x^2 + x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has a solution, which implies $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $(2x + 1)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ has a solution for $2 < i \leq r$. It is clear that $(2x + 1)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3}$ has a solution and $(2x + 1)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3^2}$ has not any solution. thus $\alpha_2 = 0, 1$; $(\frac{-3}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$. Conversely, (1.1) has a solution by Lemma 1.2 since (1.3) has a solution when $\alpha_1 = 0$; $\alpha_2 = 0, 1$; $(\frac{-3}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$.

T2(2)₁ (i) $2 \mid m$. If

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{sn}{2} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -\frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases}, \quad (1.7)$$

has a solution, where $(s, m) = 1$, then $x^2 + x + \frac{m}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has a solution, which implies $\alpha_1 > 1$ and $(2x + 1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ by Lemma 5.2 (i) for $1 < i \leq r$.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$ and $2 \mid n$. Since $mx^2 + 2sx + 2s \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$ has always a solution,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv mk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2sk_1 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution by Lemma 1.2 (ii), where $(s, m) = 1$ and $n = 2mk_1$.

T2 (2)₂ It is similar to T2 (2)₁.

T2 (3) (i) $2 \mid m$. Considering Lemma 1.2(i) one obtains that

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases},$$

has not any solution, where $(s, m) = 1$, since $x^2 - x + \frac{m^2}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has not any solutions when $\alpha_1 = 1$ by Lemma 5.2(i). It is clear that $\frac{m}{2}x^2 - x + \frac{m}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha_1}}$ has a solution 2^{α_1-1} when $\alpha_1 > 1$.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$ and $2 \mid n$. One obtains that

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv mk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv mk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv 2sk_1 \pmod{n} \end{cases},$$

has a solution, where $(s, m) = 1$ and $n = 2mk_1$, since $mx^2 - 2sx + m \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$ has always a solution.

T3 (1)₁ By Lemma 1.2(i) and

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{2sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv \frac{-2sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases},$$

where $(s, m) = 1$, one obtains

$$x^2 + 2x + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

and

$$(x + 1)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$$

which implies $\alpha_1 = 0, 1; \alpha_2 = 0; (\frac{-1}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$.

T3 $(1)_2$ (i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.2(i) and

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv \frac{-2sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases},$$

where $(s, m) = 1$, one obtains

$$x^2 + 2x + \frac{m}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

and

$$(x + 1)^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

By Lemma 5.3(ii),

$$(x + 1)^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{m}{2} \pmod{2^2}$$

and

$$(x + 1)^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{m}{2} \pmod{2^3}$$

has not any solutions.

$$(x + 1)^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{m}{2} \pmod{2^{\alpha_1}}$$

has a solution when $\alpha_1 > 3$.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. $n = 2mk_1$. By Lemma 1.2(i) and

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv mk_1 \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -4sk_1 \pmod{n} \end{cases},$$

where $(s, m) = 1$, one obtains

$$mx^2 + 4sx + 2s \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$$

has a solution.

T3 (2)₁ (i) $3 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1, one has

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{3} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{n}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -\frac{n}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Let $m = 3m'$. $x^2 + x + \frac{sm}{3} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. $(2x+1)^2 \equiv 1 - 4m's \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ has a solution for $2 < i \leq r$. Consequently, $1 - 4m's \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ since $m's \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. This implies $(2x+1)^2 \equiv 1 - 4m's \pmod{3}$ has a solution.

(ii) $3 \nmid m$. $n = mk$ and $k = 3k_1$. If

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{s_1 n}{3} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -\frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

has a solution, where $s_1 = 1$ or 2 , $(s, m) = 1$. then $ms_1 x^2 + 3sx + 3s \equiv 0 \pmod{3m}$ has a solution, which implies that (1.9) has a solution by Lemma 1.2.

T3(2)₂ (1.3) has a solution $d = 3$ with $m = 6$, $t_1 = 2$, $t_2 = 3$, $t_3 = -3$.

T3(3) (1.3) has a solution $d = 1$ with $m = 6$, $t_1 = 2$, $t_2 = 3$, $t_3 = 5$.

T4(1) (1.3) has a solution $d = 4$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = 4$, $t_2 = 8$, $t_3 = 9$.

T4(2) (1.3) has a solution $d = 4$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = 8$, $t_2 = 8$, $t_3 = 1$.

T5(1) (1.3) has a solution $d = 2$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = 8$, $t_2 = 6$, $t_3 = 1$.

T5(2) (1.3) has a solution $d = 6$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = 4$, $t_2 = 6$, $t_3 = 9$.

T6 (1.3) has a solution $d = 12$ with $m = 18$, $t_1 = 1$, $t_2 = 12$, $t_3 = 16$.

T7(1) (1.3) has a solution $d = 3$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = 1$, $t_2 = 6$, $t_3 = -3$.

T7(2) (1.3) has a solution $d = 3$ with $m = 12$, $t_1 = -3$, $t_2 = 6$, $t_3 = 1$.

T8 (1) By

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{3sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv \frac{-3sn}{m} \pmod{n} \end{cases} ,$$

where $(s, m) = 1$, one obtains

$$x^2 + 3x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (1.10)$$

By Lemma 5.2, $x^2 + 3x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ has not any solutions, which implies $\alpha_1 = 0$; $(2x+3)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for $2 < i \leq r$, which implies $(\frac{-3}{p_i}) = 1$ for $2 < i \leq r$; $(2x+3)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3}$ has a solution and $(2x+3)^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3^2}$ has not any solutions, which implies $\alpha_2 = 0, 1$.

T8(2)₁ (1.3) has a solution $d = 4$ with $m = 8$, $t_1 = 5$, $t_2 = 4$, $t_3 = 1$.

T8(2)₂ (1.3) has a solution $d = 4$ with $m = 8$, $t_1 = -2$, $t_2 = 4$, $t_3 = 1$.

T8(3) (1.3) has a solution $d = 1$ with $m = 8$, $t_1 = 2$, $t_2 = -1$, $t_3 = 1$.

T9 (1.4) has a solution $d = 6$ with $m = 18, t_1 = -6, t_2 = 9, t_3 = 2$.
 T10 (1.3) has a solution $d = 16$ with $m = 24, t_1 = -6, t_2 = -8, t_3 = 1$.
 T11(1) (1.4) has a solution $d = 8$ with $m = 10, t_1 = 2, t_2 = 5, t_3 = -6$.
 T11 (2) (1.3) has a solution $d = 7$ with $m = 20, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 10, t_3 = -3$.
 T12 (1.3) has a solution $d = 10$ with $m = 30, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 20, t_3 = -3$.
 T13 (1.3) has a solution $d = 5$ with $m = 24, t_1 = 6, t_2 = -1, t_3 = 1$.
 T14 (1.3) has a solution $d = 9$ with $m = 18, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 9, t_3 = -4$.
 T15 (1.3) has a solution $d = 11$ with $m = 30, t_1 = 12, t_2 = -1, t_3 = 1$.
 T16 (1) (1.3) has a solution $d = 5$ with $m = 10, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 5, t_3 = -4$.
 T16 (2) (1.3) has a solution $d = 10$ with $m = 20, t_1 = -4, t_2 = 10, t_3 = 1$.
 T17 (1.3) has a solution $d = 4$ with $m = 24, t_1 = 16, t_2 = 12, t_3 = 1$.
 T18 (1.3) has a solution $d = 5$ with $m = 30, t_1 = 20, t_2 = 15, t_3 = 1$.
 T19 (1.5) becomes $x^2 + 3x + 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$, which has not any solution by Lemma 5.2(i).
 T20 (1.3) has a solution $d = 15$ with $m = 30, t_1 = -6, t_2 = 15, t_3 = 1$.
 T21 (1.3) has a solution $d = 7$ with $m = 24, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 12, t_3 = -5$.
 T22 (1.5) becomes $x^2 + 5x + 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$, which has not any solution by Lemma 5.2(i). $\square$

Proposition 1.7. *If $(V, (q_{ij})_{2 \times 2})$ is a braided vector space, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite.*

Proof. If It is clear that $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite when $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ by [He06b]. Conversely, if $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite, then the generalized Dynkin diagram of V is in [He05c, Table 1]: T2(1) in Row 2, T2(2) in Row 3, T3(1)₁ in Row 4, T3(1)₂ in Row 5, T3(2)₂ in Row 6, T3(3) in Row 7, T4 in Row 8, T4(2) in Row 9, T9 in Row 10, T8(1) in Row 11, T8(3) in Row 12, T21 in Row 13, T16 in Row 14, T11 in Row 15, T12 in Row 16, T19 in Row 17. It follows $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ from [He04a, Th. 4]. $\square$

Remark: In the proof above, for Row 16, set $\xi = q^{17}$, one obtain $q = \xi^{-7}$ since $4 \cdot 30 - 7 \cdot 17 = 1$; for Row 17, set $\xi = q^3$, one obtain $q = \xi^5$ since $-14 + 5 \cdot 3 = 1$. The similar result appeared in Theorem 1.6.1 of paper: Nichols algebras of diagonal type and of arithmetic root systems, written by I. Heckenberger.

2 Rank 3

In this section all finite dimensional Nichols algebras with diagonal type of connected rank 3 Yetter-Drinfeld modules over finite cycle groups are found. let $|u|$ denote length of word u .

Lemma 2.1. (i) *If $|u| = |v|$, then $u < v$ if and only if $uw < vw$.*

(ii) If $u = vw$ is the Shirshov decomposition of Lyndon word u and $[u]$ is hard, then both $[v]$ and $[w]$ are hard too.

Proof. (i) It is clear.

(ii) If $[w]$ is not hard, then there exist words $w_i > w$ and $k_i \in k$ such that $w = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i w_i$ by [Kh99, Cor. 3.2.4]. Consequently, $u = vw = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i v w_i$ and $[u]$ is not a hard word by [Kh99, Cor. 3.2.4]. This is a contradiction. If $[v]$ is not hard, then there exist words $v_i > v$ and $k_i \in k$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i v_i$ by [Kh99, Cor. 3.2.4]. Consequently, $u = vw = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i v_i w$ and $v_i w > vw$ by Part (i), which implies that $[u]$ is not a hard word by [Kh99, Cor. 3.2.4]. $\square$

According to [He05c, Table 2], the first node, second node and third node of every generalized Dynkin diagram denote q_{33}, q_{11}, q_{22} , respectively.

Lemma 2.2. Assume generalized Dynkin diagram of braided vector space V is one of the following condition:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} - 1 \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad q \in R_m, m > 2.$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{c} -1 \quad \zeta \quad -1 \quad \zeta \quad -1 \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad \zeta \in R_3.$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{c} q \quad q^{-1} \quad -1 \quad r^{-1} \quad r \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad q \in R_m, r \in R_{m'}, q \neq r; m, m' > 1.$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is finite dimensional with $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} = \Delta(B(V))$ and $p_{u,u} \neq 1$ for hard super-letter $[u]$.

Proof. Let

$$\Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \{\deg u \mid u \text{ is a generator (i.e. hard super-letter) of (restricted) PBW basis}\}$$

$\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) \cup -\Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V))$, written as $\Delta := \Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$. Recall [He04b, Eq.

(2)(3)], $m_{ij} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid q_{ii}^m q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1 \text{ or } q_{ii}^{m+1} = 1, q_{ii} \neq 1\}$ for $i \neq j$ and $m_{ii} := -2$;

$s_i(e_j) := \begin{cases} -e_i & \text{if } i = j \\ e_j + m_{ij}e_i & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$. The map s_i is a pseudo-reflection. It is clear $m_{12} = 1$,

$m_{21} = 1, m_{13} = 1, m_{31} = 1, m_{32} = 0, m_{23} = 0; [x_2 x_3] = 0, [x_1 x_2 x_2] = 0, [x_1 x_3 x_3] = 0, [x_1 x_3 x_2 x_2] = 0;$

$$\begin{cases} s_1(k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + k_3 e_3) &= (k_2 + k_3 - k_1)e_1 + k_2 e_2 + k_3 e_3 \\ s_2(k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + k_3 e_3) &= k_1 e_1 + (k_1 - k_2)e_2 + k_3 e_3 \\ s_3(k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + k_3 e_3) &= k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + (k_1 - k_3)e_3 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

for $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in k$. By [He06b, Remark 1] and [He06b, Lemma 2], $k_1 e_1 + k_2 e_2 + k_3 e_3 \notin \Delta$ for $(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \{(2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1), (2, 1, 1)\}$.

Now we show that $B := \{[x_1], [x_2], [x_3], [x_1 x_2], [x_1 x_3], [x_1 x_3 x_2]\}$ is the set of all hard super-letters in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\} = \Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$.

Assume that $[u]$ is a hard super-letter and $u = vw$ is the Shirshow decomposition of u . Now we show $[u] \in B$ step by step.

(a) If $|u| = 2$, then $u = x_1x_2$ or x_1x_3 .

(b) If $|u| = 3$, then $[u] = [x_1x_3x_2]$ or $[u] = [x_1x_2x_3]$. $[x_1x_2x_3] \notin B$ since $[x_1x_2x_3] = [[x_1], [x_2, x_3]] = 0$.

(c) $|u| = 4$.

1) $|w| = 3$. Then $[w] = [x_1x_3x_2]$ and $[v] = [x_1]$. Considering (2.1), one have $[u] \notin B$.

2) $|w| = 2$. Then $[u] = [[x_1x_2], [x_1x_3]]$ and $\deg u = 2e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \notin \Delta$.

3) $|w| = 1$. Then $[v] = [x_1x_3x_2]$ and $[w] = [x_2]$, which implies $[u] \notin B$.

(d) $|u| = 5$, $u = x_1x_2x_1x_3x_2$ or $x_1x_3x_1x_3x_2$, but $[u]$ is not hard in this cases.

The others are clear. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n = km$ and $(s, m) = 1$. $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $t_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i)

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv t_1sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv t_2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv t_3sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv t_4sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv t_5sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv t_6sk \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

has a solution

(ii)

$$\begin{cases} t_1(x_2)^2 - t_4x_2 + t_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ t_1(x_3)^2 - t_5x_3 + t_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv t_1ks \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv (t_4 - x_2t_1)ks \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (t_5 - x_3t_1)ks \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv t_1sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv t_2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv t_3sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv t_4sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv t_5sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv t_6sk \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

has a solution.

(iii)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} t_1(x_2)^2 - t_4x_2 + t_2 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } m) \\ t_1(x_3)^2 - t_5x_3 + t_3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } m) \\ x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } n) \\ y_1 & \equiv t_1ks & (\text{mod } n) \\ y_2 & \equiv (t_4 - x_2t_1)ks & (\text{mod } n) \\ y_3 & \equiv (t_5 - x_3t_1)ks & (\text{mod } n) \\ 2t_1x_2x_3 - t_4x_3 - t_5x_2 & \equiv -t_6 & (\text{mod } m) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

has a solution.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2) is a solution of (2.2). By Lemma 1.3(i), $x_2 = \frac{m_2n_1}{ks}$ and $x_3 = \frac{m_3n_1}{ks}$ are solutions of the 1th and 2th equations of (2.3), respectively. Furthermore, by Lemma 1.2(ii) all equations of (2.3) hold but the 12th equation. By simple computation, $y_2 = m_1n_2$ and $y_3 = m_1n_3$. Consequently,

$$x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 = \frac{m_3n_1}{ks}m_1n_2 + \frac{m_2n_1}{ks}m_1n_3 = t_6sk.$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It follows from Lemma 1.2(ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). It is a simple computation. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. Let $n = km$ and $(s, m) = 1$; $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $(m, t_1) = 1$, then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1y_1 & \equiv t_1sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv t_2sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv t_3sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv t_4sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv t_5sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv t_6sk & (\text{mod } n) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.5)$$

has a solution if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} t_1(x_2)^2 - t_4x_2 + t_2 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } m) \\ t_1(x_3)^2 - t_5x_3 + t_3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } m) \\ x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } m) \\ y_1 & \equiv t_1 & (\text{mod } m) \\ y_2 & \equiv (t_4 - x_2t_1) & (\text{mod } m) \\ y_3 & \equiv (t_5 - x_3t_1) & (\text{mod } m) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv t_4 & (\text{mod } m) \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv t_5 & (\text{mod } m) \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv t_6 & (\text{mod } m) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)$$

has a solution.

Proof. Assume that (m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2) is a solution of (2.5). By Lemma 1.3(i), $x_2 = \frac{m_2 n_1}{ks}$ and $x_3 = \frac{m_3 n_1}{ks}$ are solutions of

$$(x_2)^2 - t_4 x_2 + t_1 t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$(x_3)^2 - t_5 x_3 + t_1 t_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \quad (2.8)$$

respectively. It is clear that $x_2 = t_1^{-1} \frac{m_2 n_1}{ks}$ and $x_3 = t_1^{-1} \frac{m_3 n_1}{ks}$ are solutions of 1th and 2th of (2.6), respectively. By simple computation, 7th and 8th in (2.6) holds; $y_2 = \frac{m_1 n_2}{ks}$ and $y_3 = \frac{m_1 n_3}{ks}$. Consequently,

$$(x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3) = \frac{m_3 n_1}{ks} m_1 n_2 + \frac{m_2 n_1}{ks} m_1 n_3 = t_6.$$

Conversely, It follows from Lemma 1.2(ii). $\square$

Lemma 2.5. Let f denote the lowest common multiple of m and m' with $(s, m) = 1 = (s', m')$ and $m, m' > 1$. Then

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv \frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv \frac{s'n}{m'} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -\frac{sn}{m} \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -\frac{s'n}{m'} \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

has a solution if and only if $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$ when $\alpha_i \alpha'_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and

$$-s \equiv m'' s' \pmod{m'} \quad (2.10)$$

when $m = m'' m'$ and $(m', m'') = 1$;

$$-s' \equiv m'' s \pmod{m} \quad (2.11)$$

when $m' = m'' m$ and $(m, m'') = 1$. Here $m = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$, $m' = 2^{\alpha'_1} 3^{\alpha'_2} p_3^{\alpha'_3} \cdots p_t^{\alpha'_t}$ be the prime decomposition, respectively.

Proof. (I) Assume that (2.9) has a solution.

(i) Assume $2 \mid mm'$.

(a). $u \mid f$ and $(\frac{sf}{m}, u) = 1$. By Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{cases} \frac{sf}{m}(x_1)^2 + \frac{sf}{m}x_1 + \frac{f}{2} & \equiv 0 & \pmod{u} \\ \frac{sf}{m}(x_3)^2 + \frac{fs'}{m'} & \equiv 0 & \pmod{u} \\ x_2 & \equiv 1 & \pmod{u} \\ y_2 & \equiv \frac{sf}{m} & \pmod{u} \\ y_1 & \equiv (-\frac{sf}{m} - \frac{sf}{m}x_1) & \pmod{u} \\ y_3 & \equiv -\frac{sf}{m}x_3 & \pmod{u} \\ \frac{sf}{m}(2x_1x_3 + x_3) & \equiv \frac{fs'}{m'} & \pmod{u} \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

has a solution, which implies

$$-\frac{sf}{m} \frac{fs'}{m'} \equiv \left(\frac{fs'}{m'}\right)^2 \pmod{u}. \quad (2.13)$$

Consequently, (2.10) holds.

(b). Similarly, (2.11) holds.

(c). If there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$ such that $\alpha_{i_0} > \alpha'_{i_0} > 0$, then $-\frac{f}{m} \frac{f}{m'} \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$ with $u = p_{i_0}^{\alpha_{i_0} - \alpha'_{i_0} + 1}$ by (2.13). This is a contradiction.

(d). Similarly, if there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$ such that $\alpha'_{i_0} > \alpha_{i_0} > 0$, then there exists a contradiction.

(ii) Assume $2 \nmid mm'$.

(a). $u \mid f$ and $(\frac{sf}{m}, u) = 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2fs}{m}(x_1)^2 + \frac{2fs}{m}x_1 + f & \equiv 0 & \pmod{u} \\ \frac{2fs}{m}(x_3)^2 + \frac{2fs'}{m'} & \equiv 0 & \pmod{u} \\ x_2 & \equiv 1 & \pmod{u} \\ y_2 & \equiv \frac{2fs}{m} & \pmod{u} \\ y_1 & \equiv \left(-\frac{2fs}{m} - \frac{2fs}{m}x_1\right) & \pmod{u} \\ y_3 & \equiv -\frac{2fs}{m}x_3 & \pmod{u} \\ \frac{2fs}{m}(2x_1x_3 + x_3) & \equiv \frac{2fs'}{m'} & \pmod{u} \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

has a solution, which implies

$$-\frac{fs}{m} \frac{fs'}{m'} \equiv \left(\frac{fs'}{m'}\right)^2 \pmod{u}. \quad (2.15)$$

Consequently, $-s \equiv m''s' \pmod{m'}$ when $m = m''m'$ and $(m', m'') = 1$.

(b). Similarly, $-s' \equiv m''s \pmod{m}$ when $m' = m''m$.

(c). Similarly, if there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$ such that $\alpha'_{i_0} > \alpha_{i_0} > 0$, then there exists a contradiction.

Conversely, we shall show that (2.9) has a solution step by step.

(II) Assume $2 \mid mm'$.

(i) Assume $m' \mid m$ and $f = m = m''m'$ with $(m', m'') = 1$.

(a). $2 \nmid m'$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m'} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s & \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv m''s' & \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s & \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -m''s' & \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m'} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = -1, y_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, y_2 = s, x_3 = 1, y_3 = -s$. In fact, $x_1y_1 \equiv 0$, $x_2y_2 \equiv s$, $x_3y_3 \equiv -s \equiv m''s'$ (by (2.10)), $x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 \equiv -s$, $x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 \equiv s \equiv -m''s'$ (by (2.10)), $x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m'}$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv \frac{m''m'}{2} \pmod{m''} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s \pmod{m''} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s \pmod{m''} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. : $x_1 = \frac{m''}{2}, y_1 = 1, x_2 = -s - \frac{m''}{2}, y_2 = -\frac{m''}{2} - 1, x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0$. In fact, $x_1y_1 = \frac{m''}{2} \equiv \frac{m''}{2}m'$ (since m' is odd), $x_2y_2 \equiv (-s - \frac{m''}{2})(-\frac{m''}{2} - 1) \equiv (s + s\frac{m''}{2} + \frac{m''}{2} + \frac{m''}{2}\frac{m''}{2}) \equiv s$, $x_3y_3 \equiv 0$, $x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 \equiv \frac{m''}{2}(-1 - \frac{m''}{2}) + (-s - \frac{m''}{2}) \equiv -s$, $x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 \equiv 0$, $x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 \equiv 0$, $\pmod{m''}$.

Consequently, (2.5) has a solution.

(b). $2 \mid m'$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s \pmod{m''} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s \pmod{m''} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m''} \end{cases}$$

has a solution : $x_1 = -1, y_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, y_2 = s, x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m'} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv m''s' \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -m''s' \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \end{cases}$$

has a solution : $x_1 = \frac{m}{2}, y_1 = (-1 - \frac{m}{2})s, x_2 = 1, y_2 = s, x_3 = s^{-1}s'm'', y_3 = -s'm''$. In fact, $x_1y_1 = \frac{m}{2}(-1 - \frac{m}{2})s \equiv \frac{m}{2}$, $x_2y_2 \equiv s$, $x_3y_3 \equiv s^{-1}s'm''(-s'm'') \equiv s^{-1}s'm''s = s'm''$, $x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 \equiv \frac{m}{2}s + (-1 - \frac{m}{2})s \equiv -s$, $x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 \equiv \frac{m}{2}(-s'm'') + s^{-1}s'm''(-1 - \frac{m}{2})s \equiv -\frac{m}{2}s'm'' - s'm'' - s'm''\frac{m}{2} \equiv -s'm''$, $x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m'}$.

Consequently, (2.5) has a solution.

(ii) Assume $f = m, m \mid m'$ and $f = m' = mm''$ with $(m'', m) = 1$. This is similar to Part (i).

(iii) Assume $f = mm'$, $2 \mid m$ and $2 \nmid m'$ with $(m', m) = 1$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv \frac{mm'}{2} \pmod{m} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv m's \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -sm' \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = \frac{m}{2}, y_1 = m', x_2 = -s - \frac{m}{2}, y_2 = m'(-\frac{m}{2} - 1), x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0$. In fact, $x_1y_1 \equiv \frac{mm'}{2}, x_2y_2 \equiv (-s - \frac{m}{2})(m'(-\frac{m}{2} - 1)) \equiv (s + \frac{m}{2}(s + \frac{m}{2} + 1))m' = sm', x_3y_3 \equiv 0, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 \equiv m'(-\frac{m}{2}\frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{2} - s - \frac{m}{2}) \equiv -sm', x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 \equiv 0, x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv ms' \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -ms' \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = -1, y_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, y_3 = ms'$.

(iv) Assume $f = mm'$, $2 \mid m'$ and $2 \nmid m$ with $(m', m) = 1$. It is similar to Part (iii).

(III) Assume $2 \nmid mm'$. Let $n = 2fk_4$. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv fk_4 \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv \frac{2sf}{m}k_4 \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv \frac{2s'f}{m'}k_4 \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -\frac{2sf}{m}k_4 \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -\frac{2s'f}{m'}k_4 \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

has a solution, where $(s, m) = 1$ and $(s', m') = 1$.

(i) Assume $f = m, m' \mid m$ and $f = m = m''m'$.

(a). Obviously,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

has a solution.

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 2s \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 2s'm'' \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2s \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -2s'm'' \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = 0, y_1 = -s_1, x_2 = 1, y_2 = s_1, x_3 = s_1^{-1}s'_1m'', y_3 = -s'_1m''$, where $s_1 = 2s, s'_1 = 2s'$. In fact, $x_1y_1 = 0, x_2y_2 = s_1, x_3y_3 = s_1^{-1}s'_1m''(-s'_1m'') \equiv 2s'm'' \pmod{m}$ by (2.11). $x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 = -2s. x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 = -2s'm''. x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 = 0$.

(b). By Part (a), one obtains that (2.16) has a solution.

(ii) Assume $f = m', m \mid m'$ and $f = m' = mm''$. This is similar to Part (i).

(iii) Assume $f = mm'$ with $(m', m) = 1$. Obviously,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

has a solution and

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sm' \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2sm' \pmod{m} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = -1, y_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, y_2 = 2m's, x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0$;

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 2s'm \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -2s'm \pmod{m'} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m'} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_1 = -1, y_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, y_3 = 2s'm$. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *If $(V, (q_{ij})_{2 \times 2})$ is a braided vector space, then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} - 1 \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad q \in R_m, m > 2.$$

(ii) *The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad \zeta \quad -1 \quad \zeta \quad -1 \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, \quad \zeta \in R_3.$$

(iii) *The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to*

$\begin{array}{c} q \quad q^{-1} \quad -1 \quad r^{-1} \quad r \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}; \alpha_i = \alpha'_i \text{ when } \alpha_i \alpha'_i \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t; -s \equiv m'' s' \pmod{m'} \text{ when } m = m'' m' \text{ and } (m'', m') = 1; -s \equiv m'' s' \pmod{m} \text{ when } m' = m'' m \text{ and } (m, m'') = 1;$
Here $q \in R_m, r \in R_{m'}, \omega \in R_n, m > 1, m' > 1; q \neq r, q \neq r^{-1}; (s, m) = 1; (s', m') = 1; q = \omega^{\frac{ns}{m}}, r = \omega^{\frac{ns'}{m'}}; m = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}, m' = 2^{\alpha'_1} 3^{\alpha'_2} p_3^{\alpha'_3} \cdots p_t^{\alpha'_t}$ be the prime decomposition, respectively.

Proof. The necessity. By [He05c, Th. 12], we need consider [He05c, Table 2].

(1) Row 1 of [He05c, Table 2]. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)ks & \pmod{n} \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + 1 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$ and

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 & \pmod{m} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 & \pmod{m} \\ (2x_2 + 1)(2x_3 + 1) & \equiv 1 & \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $9 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, which is a contradiction.

(2) Row 2 of [He05c, Table 2]. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2 y_2 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & \equiv 1 & \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv (-2 - x_1)ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)ks & \pmod{n} \\ (x_1)^2 + 2x_1 + 2 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + 2 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ 2x_1 x_3 + 2x_3 & \equiv 2 & \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 + 1)^2 & \equiv -1 & \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv -2 & \pmod{m} \\ 2(x_1 + 1)x_3 & \equiv 2 & \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $4 \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(3) By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-2 - x_2)ks & \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)ks & \pmod{n} \\ (x_2)^2 + 2x_2 + 2 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + 1 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + 2x_3 & \equiv 0 & \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$ and

$$\begin{cases} (x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -1 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 \pmod{m} \\ (x_2 + 1)(2x_3 + 1) & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $3 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(4)(i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv \frac{m}{2} sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv ks \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)ks \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)ks \pmod{n} \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + \frac{m}{2} & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. Let $k = 2k_1$ and $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$ and

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_2 + 1)(2x_3 + 1) & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 4$. This is a contradiction.

(5)(i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2 y_2 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv \frac{m}{2} sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv ks \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv (-2 - x_1)ks \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)ks \pmod{n} \\ (x_1)^2 + 2x_1 + 2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + \frac{m}{2} & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_1 x_3 + 2x_3 & \equiv 2 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 + 1)^2 & \equiv -1 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv -\frac{m}{2} \pmod{m} \\ 2(x_1 + 1)x_3 & \equiv 2 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. Let $k = 2k_1$ and $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2 y_2 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -2s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_2 & \equiv & s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_1 & \equiv & (-2 - x_1) s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_3 & \equiv & (-x_3) s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ (x_1)^2 + 2x_1 + 2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_1 x_3 + 2x_3 & \equiv & 2 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} (x_1 + 1)^2 & \equiv & -1 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2(x_1 + 1)x_3 & \equiv & 2 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. One gets $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(6)(i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1 y_1 & \equiv & sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv & 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv & \frac{m}{2} sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv & -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv & -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{n} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv & ks \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv & (-2 - x_2) ks \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv & (-1 - x_3) ks \pmod{n} \\ (x_2)^2 + 2x_2 + 2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + \frac{m}{2} & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + 2x_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. The Equation 5 implies $4 \nmid m$ and The Equation 6 implies $4 \mid m$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. Let $k = 2k_1$ and $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv 2s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -2s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-2 - x_2) s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3) s_1 k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ (x_2)^2 + 2x_2 + 2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + 2x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$ and

$$\begin{cases} (x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -1 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ (x_2 + 1)(2x_3 + 1) & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(7)(i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv 3sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv \frac{m}{2} sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -3sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv ks \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-3 - x_2) ks \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3) ks \pmod{n} \\ (x_2)^2 + 3x_2 + 3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + \frac{m}{2} & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + 3x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. Let $k = 2k_1$ and $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 3s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -3s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-3 - x_2)s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ (x_2)^2 + 3x_2 + 3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2x_3 + x_2 + 3x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that $2 \nmid m$ and

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 3)^2 & \equiv -3 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_2 + 3)(2x_3 + 1) & \equiv 3 \pmod{m} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-3 \equiv 9 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 12$. This is a contradiction.

(9) It follows from Lemma 2.5.

(10)(i) $2 \mid m$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_3y_3 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv \frac{m}{2}sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_3 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{n} \\ y_3 & \equiv & ks \pmod{n} \\ y_1 & \equiv & (-1 - x_1)ks \pmod{n} \\ y_2 & \equiv & (-x_2)ks \pmod{n} \\ (x_1)^2 + x_1 + \frac{m}{2} & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_2)^2 + 1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2x_1 + x_2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. Equation 5 above implies $4 \mid m$ and Equation 6 above implies $4 \nmid m$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $2 \nmid m$. Let $k = 2k_1$ and $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1, then consider a solution of the system of polynomial congruences:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_3y_3 & \equiv & s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv & s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv & -s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv & -s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_3 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_3 & \equiv & s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_1 & \equiv & (-1 - x_1)s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ y_2 & \equiv & (-x_2)s_1k_1 \pmod{\frac{n}{2}} \\ (x_1)^2 + x_1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ (x_2)^2 + 1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m} \\ 2x_2x_1 + x_2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution, and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} (2x_1 + 1)^2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{m} \\ (x_2)^2 & \equiv & -1 \pmod{m} \\ (2x_1 + 1)x_2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. One gets $-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, which implies that $m \mid 2$. This is a contradiction.

(11) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{6k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_2y_2 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3k} \\ y_2 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_1 & \equiv (-1 - x_1)s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ (x_1)^2 + x_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 + 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2x_1x_3 + x_3 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_1 + 1)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \\ (2x_1 + 1)x_3 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(12) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv 3k - 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 3k - 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 3k + 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 3k + 2sk \pmod{6k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_2y_2 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3k} \\ y_2 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_1 & \equiv (1 - x_1)s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ (x_1)^2 - x_1 - 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 - 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2x_1x_3 - x_3 & \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (2x_1 - 1)^2 & \equiv 5 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ (2x_1 - 1)x_3 & \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

have solutions. One gets $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(13)(1) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -4sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3y_1 + x_1y_3 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{6k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_2 & \equiv (-2 - x_2)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ (x_2)^2 + 2x_2 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ 2x_2x_3 + x_2 + 2x_3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (2x_3 + 1)(x_2 + 1) & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(13)(2) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 3sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_3y_1 + x_1y_3 & \equiv -sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 6k) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ y_1 & \equiv sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ y_2 & \equiv (-2 - x_2)sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ (x_2)^2 + 2x_2 + 3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 6) \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 6) \\ 2x_2x_3 + x_2 + 2x_3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 6) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Equation 6 above has not any solutions.

(14) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_1y_1 & \equiv 3k - 2sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 3k & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv 3k + 2sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 6k) \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 3k + 2sk & (\text{mod } 6k) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_2 y_2 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. By Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3k} \\ y_2 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ y_1 & \equiv (1 - x_1) s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3) s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ (x_1)^2 - x_1 - 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2x_1 x_3 - x_3 & \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_1 - 1)^2 & \equiv 5 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (2x_1 - 1)x_3 & \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(16) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv 3k + 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv 3k - 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_1 + x_1 y_3 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{6k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{3k} \\ y_1 & \equiv & s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ y_2 & \equiv & (-1 - x_2) s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ y_3 & \equiv & (-1 - x_3) s_1 k \pmod{3k} \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{3} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{3} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv & -3 \pmod{3} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{3} \\ (2x_3 + 1)(2x_2 + 1) & \equiv & 1 \pmod{3} \end{array} \right. .$$

One gets $-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(17) $m = 6$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1 y_1 & \equiv & 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv & 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv & 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv & -2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_1 + x_1 y_3 & \equiv & 3k \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{6k} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 3s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 2) = 1$. Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_1 y_1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{2k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv & k \pmod{2k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv & k \pmod{2k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{2k} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv & k \pmod{2k} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{2k} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. By Lemma 2.3

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x_2 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{2k} \\ y_2 & \equiv & k \pmod{2k} \\ y_1 & \equiv & (-x_1)k \pmod{2k} \\ y_3 & \equiv & (-x_3)k \pmod{2k} \\ (x_1)^2 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{2} \\ (x_3)^2 + 1 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{2} \\ 2x_1 x_3 & \equiv & -1 \pmod{2} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. The last equation above has not any solutions.

(18) $m = 9$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{9k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv -3sk \pmod{9k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv sk \pmod{9k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv -sk \pmod{9k} \\ x_3 y_1 + x_1 y_3 & \equiv -sk \pmod{9k} \\ x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{9k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{9k} \\ y_1 & \equiv sk \pmod{9k} \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)sk \pmod{9k} \\ y_3 & \equiv (-1 - x_3)sk \pmod{9k} \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 - 3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{9} \\ (x_3)^2 + x_3 + 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{9} \\ 2x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3 & \equiv 0 \pmod{9} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv 4 \pmod{9} \\ (2x_3 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 \pmod{9} \\ (2x_3 + 1)(2x_2 + 1) & \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. One gets $-12 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, which is a contradiction.

The sufficiency. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ when the generalized Dynkin diagrams are in Row 8, 9, 15 [He05c, Table 2]. By [He05c, Th. 12], we need decide if Row 8, Row 9 and Row 15 in [He05c, Table 2] are kG - YD modules.

(i) Row 8 [He05c, Table 2]. There exists a DDG $\overset{q}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{q^{-1}} \overset{-1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{q} \overset{q^{-1}}{\bullet}$, $q \in R_m$, in Row 8 [He05c, Table 2]. It follows from Lemma 2.5 when one sets $s = -s'$.

(ii) Row 15 [He05c, Table 2].

$$\begin{cases} x_1 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv 3sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 3sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_3 y_1 + x_1 y_3 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{6k} \\ x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2 & \equiv 0 \pmod{6k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution: $x_2 = 1, y_2 = 3ks, x_1 = 4, y_1 = 2sk, x_3 = 5, y_3 = 3ks$.

(iii) Row 9 [He05c, Table 2]. It follows from Lemma 2.5. $\square$

3 Rank > 3

In this section it is proved that every finite dimensional super Nichols algebra is a quantum linear space; the Nichols algebra of every connected YD module V over finite cycle group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\dim V > 3$ is infinite dimensional.

Theorem 3.1. *If V is a connected $k\mathbb{Z}_n$ -Yetter-Drinfeld module with diagonal type and $\text{rank} > 3$, then $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) = \infty$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is infinite.*

Proof. It is enough to show this in the case $\dim V = 4$.

All GDDs in [He06a, Table B] contain GDDs in [He05c, Table 2] but four cases Row 18, Row 20, Row 21, Row 22 in [He06a, Table B]. In detail, A GDD in Row 1 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 1 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 2 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 2 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 3 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 3 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 4 in [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 3 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 5 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 1 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 6 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 1 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 7 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 2 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 8 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 3 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 9 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 2 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 10 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 4 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 11 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 5 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 12 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 6 [He05c, Table 2]. GDD 1 in Row 13 [He06a, Table B] contains GDD 2 in Row 10 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 14 in [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 4 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 15 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 12 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 16 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 12 [He05c, Table 2]. GDD 3 in Row 17 [He06a, Table B] contains GDD 3 in Row 16 [He05c, Table 2]. A GDD in Row 19 [He06a, Table B] contains a GDD in Row 14 [He05c, Table 2]. By Theorem 2.6, they are not GDDs of any kG -YD modules.

(i) Row 18. $n = mk$, $m = 6$, $(s, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_1 y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2 y_2 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ x_3 y_2 + x_2 y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \end{array} \right.$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_3 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3k} \\ y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_1 & \equiv -x_1s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ y_2 & \equiv (1 - x_2)s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ (x_1)^2 - 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ (x_2)^2 - x_2 - 1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -2x_1x_2 + x_1 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 - 1)^2 & \equiv 5 \pmod{3} \\ (x_1)^2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x_1(-2x_2 + 1) & \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}.$$

One gets $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Row 20. $n = mk$, $m = 6$, $(s, m) = 1$. Consider the last GDD in Row 21. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2sk \pmod{n} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv 2sk \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s_1k \pmod{3k} \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 \pmod{3k} \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv s_1k \pmod{3k} \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (x_3)^2 - 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ 2x_2x_3 + x_3 & \equiv -1 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (x_3)^2 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ x_3(2x_2 + 1) & \equiv -1 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}.$$

One gets $-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(iii) Row 21. $n = mk$, $m = 6$, $(s, m) = 1$. Consider the last GDD in Row 21. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv 2sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv 2sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv 2sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -2sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv -2sk & (\text{mod } n) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Let $s_1 = 2s$. Obviously, $(s_1, 3) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} x_1y_1 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ x_2y_2 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ x_3y_3 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 & \equiv -s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 & \equiv -s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_1 & \equiv s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_2 & \equiv (-1 - x_2)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ y_3 & \equiv (-x_3)s_1 k & (\text{mod } 3k) \\ (x_2)^2 + x_2 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (x_3)^2 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ 2x_2x_3 + x_3 & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$$

has a solution, which implies that

$$\begin{cases} (2x_2 + 1)^2 & \equiv -3 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ (x_3)^2 + 1 & \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 3) \\ x_3(2x_2 + 1) & \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}.$$

One gets $0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, which is a contradiction.

(iv) Row 22. $n = mk$, $m = 4$, $(s, m) = 1$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{cases} x_3 y_3 & \equiv sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_4 y_4 & \equiv 3sk & (\text{mod } n) \\ x_4 y_3 + x_3 y_4 & \equiv sk & (\text{mod } n) \end{cases}$$

has a solution. By Lemma 1.3 (i),

$$x^2 - x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

has a solution, which contradicts to Lemma 5.2(i). $\square$

Corollary 3.2. (i) *If V is a connected finite dimensional YD module over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$, then $\dim V < 4$.*

(ii) *If V is a finite dimensional YD module over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$, then dimension of every connected component of V is lesser than 4.*

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 3.1.

(ii) It follows from Part (i) and [AS00, Lemma 4.2]. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *If V is a finite dimensional YD module over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with braided matrix (q_{ij}) and $\text{ord}(q_{ii}) \neq 1$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$.
- (ii) $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite.
- (iii) $(\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)), \chi_0, E_0)$ is an arithmetic root system.

Proof. By [He04b, Th. 1], (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. It is clear that (i) implies (ii).

Now we show that (iii) implies (i). First assume that V is connected. If $(\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)), \chi_0, E_0)$ is an arithmetic root system with $\dim V = 2$, then it follows $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ from Proposition 1.7. The generalized Dynkin diagrams of V with $\dim V = 3$ are in Row 8, 9, 15 [He05c, Table 2] by Theorem 2.6. Consequently, it follows $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ from Lemma 2.2. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, there is not any connected arithmetic root systems with rank > 3 .

Assume that V is not connected and $(\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)), \chi_0, E_0)$ is an arithmetic root system. By [AS00, Lemma 4.2], $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is the smash product of its connected components. Consequently, $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$. $\square$

The concept of quantum linear spaces was introduced in [AS98, P673]. In this case, $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$ for $i \neq j$.

Corollary 3.4. *Every finite dimensional super Nichols algebra is a quantum linear space.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ be a finite dimensional super Nichols algebra and V a YD module over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. If $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is not quantum linear space, then there exist $i \neq j$ such that $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$. Let U denote the sub $k\mathbb{Z}_2$ -YD module generated by x_i, x_j . It is clear $\dim \mathfrak{B}(U) < \infty$. This contradicts to Theorem 1.6. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that $(V, (q_{ij})_{2 \times 2})$ is a braided vector space.*

(I) *If p is a prime number, then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

$$T2(1) \quad 1 - q_{11}q_{12}q_{21} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_p; \quad p = 3 \text{ or } p > 3 \text{ and } (\frac{-3}{p}) = 1.$$

$$T2(2)_1 \quad 1 + q_{11} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_p; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T2(2)_2 \quad 1 + q_{22} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{11} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_p, \quad p > 2.$$

$$T2(3) \quad 1 + q_{11} = 1 + q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_p, \quad p > 2.$$

$$T3(1)_1 \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}, \quad q_{22} = q_{11}^2, \quad q_{11} \in R_p; \quad p > 3 \text{ and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

$$T3(1)_2 \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}, \quad q_{22} = -1, \quad q_{11} \in R_p; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T8(1) \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}, \quad q_{22} = q_{11}^3, \quad q_{11} \in R_p, \quad p > 3 \text{ and } (\frac{-3}{p}) = 1.$$

(II) *Let p be a prime number, $n = p^\beta$ and $m = p^\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\beta > 1$. Then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

$$T2(1) \quad 1 - q_{11}q_{12}q_{21} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m; \quad p = 3, \alpha = 1; \quad p > 3 \text{ and } (\frac{-3}{p}) = 1.$$

$$T2(2)_1 \quad 1 + q_{11} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m; \quad p = 2, \alpha > 1; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T2(2)_2 \quad 1 + q_{22} = 1 - q_{12}q_{21}q_{11} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m; \quad p = 2, \alpha > 1; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T2(3) \quad 1 + q_{11} = 1 + q_{22} = 0, \quad q_{12}q_{21} \in R_m; \quad p = 2, \alpha > 1; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T3(1)_1 \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}, \quad q_{22} = q_{11}^2, \quad q_{11} \in R_m, \quad m > 2; \quad p > 3 \text{ and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

$$T3(1)_2 \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-2}, \quad q_{22} = -1, \quad q_{11} \in R_m, \quad m > 2; \quad p = 2, \alpha > 3; \quad p > 2.$$

$$T3(2)_1 \quad \omega \in R_n, \quad s = 1, 2; \quad q_{11} = \omega^{\frac{ns}{3}}, \quad q_{22} = \omega^{\frac{n}{m}}, \quad q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} = 1, \quad m > 3; \quad p = 3 \text{ and } \alpha > 1.$$

$$T8(1) \quad q_{12}q_{21} = q_{11}^{-3}, \quad q_{22} = q_{11}^3, \quad q_{11} \in R_m, \quad m > 3; \quad p > 3 \text{ and } (\frac{-3}{p}) = 1.$$

$$T8(2)_1 \quad (q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1, \quad q_{22} = -1, \quad q_{12}q_{21} = -q_{11}; \quad m = 8; \quad \alpha = 3.$$

$$T8(2)_2 \quad (q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1, \quad q_{22} = -1, \quad q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-2}; \quad m = 8, \quad \alpha = 3.$$

$$T8(3) \quad (q_{12}q_{21})^4 = -1, \quad q_{11} = (q_{12}q_{21})^2, \quad q_{22} = (q_{12}q_{21})^{-1}; \quad m = 8, \quad \alpha = 3.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.6. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $(V, (q_{ij})_{3 \times 3})$ is a braided vector space.*

(I) *If p is a prime number, then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} - 1 \\ \bullet \quad \text{---} \quad \bullet \quad \text{---} \quad \bullet \end{array}, \quad q \in R_p; \quad p > 2.$$

(ii) The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{c} q \quad q^{-1} \quad -1 \quad r^{-1} r \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}; -s \equiv s' \pmod{p}. \text{ Here } q, r, \omega \in R_p, q \neq r, q \neq r^{-1}; (s, p) = 1; \\ (s', p) = 1; q = \omega^s, r = \omega^{s'}.$$

(II) Let p be a prime number, $n = p^\beta$ and $m = p^\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\beta > 1$. Then V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} - 1 \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}, q \in R_m; m > 2; p = 2 \text{ and } \alpha > 1 \text{ or } p > 2.$$

(ii) The generalized Dynkin diagram of V is Weyl equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{c} q \quad q^{-1} \quad -1 \quad r^{-1} r \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array}; -s \equiv s' \pmod{m}; m' = m > 1; p = 2 \text{ and } \alpha > 1 \text{ or } p > 2. \text{ Here } \\ q \in R_m, r \in R_{m'}, \omega \in R_n, q \neq r, q \neq r^{-1}; (s, m) = 1; (s', m') = 1; q = \omega^{\frac{ns}{m}}, r = \omega^{\frac{ns'}{m'}}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.6. $\square$

4 Link-indecomposable YD-modules

Definition 4.1. ([MS00] and [An02]) A G -YD module V is link-indecomposable if G is generated by $\{h \in G \mid V_h \neq 0\}$.

Question 5.57 ([MS00] and [An02]) Determine all finite group Γ having a link-indecomposable YD module V such that $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$.

Corollary 4.2. If V is a connected $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module with $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$, then V is a link-indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -YD module.

Proof. (i) $\dim V = 2$. There always exists a solution (m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2) of (1.1) such that some component of the solution is 1 ($m_1 = 1$ without loss of generality) when Equation system (1.1) has a solution by Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.4(iv). Consequently, one obtains $g_1 = g^{m_1}$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.1 (iii) and $V_{g_1} = V_g \neq 0$, which implies that V is a link-indecomposable.

(ii) $\dim V = 3$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.4. $\square$

5 Appendix

In this section, we recall some results about the solutions of equation systems in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in [Hu67] and Braided vector spaces.

5.1 Equation systems in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

If prime $p \nmid a$ and $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has a solution, then a is called a quadratic residue of module p . Set

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } a \text{ is a quadratic residue of module } p \\ -1 & \text{when } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue of module } p \end{cases}. \quad (5.1)$$

This is called Legendre sign.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $f'(x) := na_n x^{n-1} + (n-1)a_{n-1} x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1$.*

(i) *If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $f'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has not any common solution with prime number p , then $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ has a solution if and only if $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has a solution.*

(ii) *$ax + b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has a solution if and only if $(a, m) \mid b$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let*

$$f(x) := ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}, \quad (5.2)$$

with prime p , $p \nmid (a, b, c)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) *If $2 \nmid a$, $2 \nmid b$, then $2 \mid c$ if and only if (5.2) has a solution.*

(ii) *If $2 \nmid a$ and $2 \mid b$, then (5.2) is equivalent to $(ax + \frac{b}{2})^2 \equiv \frac{b^2}{4} - ac \pmod{2^k}$.*

(iii) *If $p > 2$, $p \mid a$, $p \nmid b$, then (5.2) always has a solution.*

(iv) *If $p > 2$, $p \nmid a$, then (5.2) is equivalent to $(2ax + b)^2 \equiv b^2 - 4ac \pmod{p^k}$.*

Furthermore (5.2) has a solution if and only if $(2ax + b)^2 \equiv b^2 - 4ac \pmod{p}$ has a solution.

Lemma 5.3. *Let*

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k} \quad (5.3)$$

where prime $p \nmid a$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) *If $p > 2$, then the number of solution of (5.3) is $1 + (\frac{a}{p})$.*

(ii) *If $p = 2$, then*

(1) *(5.3) has a solution when $k = 1$.*

(2) *(5.3) has two solutions when $k = 2$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

(3) *(5.3) has not any solutions when $k = 2$ and $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

(4) *(5.3) has four solutions when $k > 2$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

(5) *(5.3) has not any solutions when $k > 2$ and $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_r$, where $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ are pairwise relatives prime. then $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has a solution if and only if every equation below has a solution :*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x) & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m_1} \\ f(x) & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f(x) & \equiv & 0 \pmod{m_r} \end{array}.$$

5.2 Braided vector space

If $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_r$ and $q_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)} = q'_{ij}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, then the two matrixes $\begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & \cdots & q_{1r} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & \cdots & q_{2r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ q_{r1} & q_{r2} & \cdots & q_{rr} \end{pmatrix}$

and $\begin{pmatrix} q'_{11} & q'_{12} & \cdots & q'_{1r} \\ q'_{21} & q'_{22} & \cdots & q'_{2r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ q'_{r1} & q'_{r2} & \cdots & q'_{rr} \end{pmatrix}$ are called to be permutation similarity. In this case, GDDs of the two matrixes are called to be isomorphic.

If (q_{ij}) and (q'_{ij}) are permutation similarity, then the two braided vector spaces $(V, (q_{ij}))$ and $(V, (q'_{ij}))$ are the same since $x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}$ is also a basis of V with $C(x_{\sigma(i)} \otimes x_{\sigma(j)}) = q_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(j)} \otimes x_{\sigma(i)} = q'_{ij} x_{\sigma(j)} \otimes x_{\sigma(i)}$.

Recall [ZZC04]. $(G, \vec{g}, \vec{\chi}, J)$ is called an *element system with characters* (simply, ESC) if G is a group, J is a set, $\vec{g} = \{g_i\}_{i \in J} \in Z(G)^J$ and $\vec{\chi} = \{\chi_i\}_{i \in J} \in \widehat{G}^J$ with $g_i \in Z(G)$ and $\chi_i \in \widehat{G}$. $\text{ESC}(G, \vec{g}, \vec{\chi}, J)$ and $\text{ESC}(G', \vec{g}', \vec{\chi}', J')$ are said to be isomorphic if there exist a group isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ and a bijective map $\sigma : J \rightarrow J'$ such that $\phi(g_i) = g'_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(i)} \phi = \chi_i$ for any $i \in J$.

Let $(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ be an ESC. Let V be a k -vector space with $\dim(V) = |J|$. Let $\{x_i \mid i \in J\}$ be a basis of V over k . Define a left kG -action and a left kG -coaction on V by

$$g \cdot x_i = \chi_i(g) x_i, \quad \delta^-(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i, \quad i \in J, \quad g \in G.$$

Then it is easy to see that V is a pointed YD kG -module and kx_i is a one dimensional YD kG -submodule of V for any $i \in J$. Denote by $V(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$ the pointed YD kG -module V . Obviously, $C(x_i \otimes x_j) = \chi_j(g_i) x_j \otimes x_i$ for any $i, j \in J$, is the braiding. (See [ZZC04, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4]) Every kG -YD module is isomorphic to $V(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J)$, which is a braided vector space with diagonal type and braided matrix $(q_{ij}) = (\chi_j(g_i))$ when $J = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Lemma 5.5. *If There is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : kG \rightarrow kG'$ such that $V(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J) \cong \phi^{-1} V'(G' g'_i, \chi'_i; i \in J')$ as YD kG -modules with $J = J' =$*

$\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $G = G'$, then $(q_{ij})_{r \times r}$ and $(q'_{ij})_{r \times r}$ are permutation similarity, where $q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i)$ and $q'_{ij} = \chi'_j(g'_i)$ for $1, 2, \dots, r$.

Proof. By [ZZC04, Th. 4], $\text{ESC}(G, g_i, \chi_i; i \in J) \cong \text{ESC}(G', g'_i, \chi'_i; i \in J')$ with $J = J' = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Consequently, there exists a bijective map $\sigma : J \rightarrow J'$ such that $\phi(g_i) = g'_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\chi'_{\sigma(i)}\phi(g_j) = \chi_i(g_j)$ for any $i, j \in J$. That is, $q'_{\sigma(j), \sigma(i)} = q_{ji}$ for any $i, j \in J$. $\square$

Acknowledgement: Authors were financially supported by 985 foundation of China.

References

- [AS98] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3 , J. Alg. **209** (1998), 645–691.
- [AS00] N. Andruskiewitsch and H. J. Schneider, Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), 1–45.
- [An02] N. Andruskiewitsch, About finite dimensional Hopf algebras, Contemp. Math **294**(2002), 1–57.
- [He06b] I. Heckenberger, The Weyl-Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), 175–188.
- [He06a] I. Heckenberger, Classification of arithmetic root systems, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 59-124.
- [He04a] I. Heckenberger, Finite dimensional rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type I: Examples, preprint arXiv:0402350.
- [He04b] I. Heckenberger, Rank 2 Nichols algebras with finite arithmetic root system, preprint arXiv:math/0412458.
- [He05b] I. Heckenberger, Weyl equivalence for rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type, In Ann. Univ. Ferrara- Sez. VII-Sc. Mat. vol. LI, pp 281-289 (2005)
- [He05c] I. Heckenberger, Classification of arithmetic root systems of rank 3, preprint arXiv:math/0509145.
- [Hu67] Luogeng Hua, Introduction to Number theory, Science China Press, China 1967.
- [Kh99] V. K. Kharchenko, A Quantum analog of the poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, Algebra and Logic, **38**(1999)4, 259-276

- [KT91] S. M. Khoroshkin and V. N. Tolstoy, Universal R -matrix for quantized (super)algebras, Comm. Math. Phys., **141** (1991) 3, 599-617.
- [KS99] A. Klimyk, K. Schmudgen, Quantum groups and their representations, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [MS00] A. Milinski and H. J. Schneider, Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups, Contemp. Math., **267**(2000), 215–236.
- [Wo89] S. L. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), Comm. Math. Phys., **122**(1989)1, 125- 170.
- [Ya03] H. Yamane, Representations of a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -quantum group, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **43** (2007), 75-93.
- [ZZC04] S. Zhang, Y-Z Zhang and H. X. Chen, Classification of PM quiver Hopf algebras, J. Algebra Appl., **6** (2007)(6), 919-950.